

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE SPO-116 7190 07/16/2002 Takashi Muramatsu 10/070,569 **EXAMINER** 23557 7590 11/23/2004 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK HARRIS, ALANA M A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950 1642

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/070,569	MURAMATSU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a th places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 September 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 13-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-12.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
		11/19/2004

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments presented by Applicants raise new issues of 112, 1st paragraph, written description; 112, 2nd paragraph and do not obviate the pending rejections. Moreover, while Applicants assert that support for the recitation "secreted into a body fluid" is listed in the specification at page 4, line 34 to page 5, line 8 the Examiner does not concur. Likewise, the recitation is not commensurate with the text found in the specification and lacks clarity.